1.2 – Budget Constraint ECON 306 • Microeconomic Analysis • Fall 2022 Ryan Safner **Associate Professor of Economics** safner@hood.edu **O**<u>ryansafner/microF22</u> MicroF22.classes.ryansafner.com



Outline

Rational Choice Theory

The Budget Constraint

Opportunity Cost

Changes in Market Conditions



The Two Major Models of Economics as a "Science"

Optimization

- Agents have **objectives** they value
- Agents face **constraints**
- Make tradeoffs to maximize objectives within constraints

Equilibrium

- Agents **compete** with others over **scarce** resources
- Agents **adjust** behaviors based on prices
- **Stable outcomes** when adjustments stop



Rational Choice Theory

The Logic of Choice: Ends and Means

- Each of us acts **purposefully**
- We have **ends**, goals, desires, objectives
 - *Anything* you value!
- We use **means** in the world that we *believe* will achieve our ends
 - "utility" when we consume services, or
 - if scarce physical object: "economic good" or resource



The Logic of Choice: Purpose

- Acting with **purpose** distinguishes humans from everything else in the universe
- Artificial intelligence researchers face "the frame problem"
 - Perception requires motivational goals to determine how to filter reality





Causal Inference I

- Machine learning and artificial intelligence are "dumb"
 - Data can never "speak for itself!"
- With the right models and research designs, we *can* say "X causes Y" and quantify it!
- Economists are in a unique position to make *causal* claims that mere statistics cannot





Causal Inference II



Harvard Business Review

"First, the field of economics has spent decades developing a toolkit aimed at investigating empirical relationships, focusing on techniques to help understand which correlations speak to a causal relationship and which do not. This comes up all the time – does Uber Express Pool grow the full Uber user base, or simply draw in users from other Uber products? Should eBay advertise on Google, or does this simply syphon off people who would have come through organic search anyway? Are African-American Airbnb users rejected on the basis of their race? These are just a few of the countless questions that tech companies are grappling with, investing heavily in understanding the extent of a causal relationship."

The Law of Demand

- Perhaps the most fundamental economic "law" is the **law of demand**:
 - inverse relationship between price and quantity consumed
 - $\circ~$ i.e. demand curves slope downwards
- We investigate its source, and derive more useful properties
- First, we will need to develop a more rigorous framework





Rational Choice Theory

- How do people decide:
 - $\circ\;$ which products to buy
 - which activities to dedicate their time to
 - how to save or invest/plan for the future
- Rational choice theory: assume that they optimize within constraints
- A model of behavior we can extend to most scenarios





Rational Choice Theory: Beyond Consumers

- Often called **"Consumer Theory"** in textbooks, but realize:
- *Everyone* is "a consumer"
 - "Goods and services" aren't just food, clothing, etc, but *anything* that you value! (free time, friendship, reputation, etc.)
 - $\circ~$ Producers demand productive inputs
- We are really modeling how **individuals** make choices in almost *any* context!





Consumption Bundles

- Imagine a (very strange) supermarket sells x and y
- Your choices: amounts of $\{x,y\}$ to consume as a bundle





Consumption Bundles: Graphically





- We'll stick with 2 goods (x,y) in 2-dimensions[†]



- *x*: 2 units
- *y*: 3 units

[†] See <u>appendix</u> in today's class page to extend to *n* goods!





The Budget Constraint

Affordability

- If you had \$100 to spend, what bundles of goods $\{x,y\}$ would you buy?
- Only those bundles that are **affordable**
- Denote prices of each good as $\{p_x,p_y\}$
- Let *m* be the amount of income a person has





Affordability

- If you had \$100 to spend, what bundles of goods $\{x,y\}$ would you buy?
- Only those bundles that are **affordable**
- Denote prices of each good as $\{p_x,p_y\}$
- Let *m* be the amount of income a person has
- A bundle $\{x,y\}$ is affordable at given prices $\{p_x,p_y\}$ when:

 $p_x x + p_y y \leq m$





The Budget Set

The set of *all* affordable bundles that a consumer can choose is called the budget set or choice set

 $p_xx+p_yy\leq m$





The Budget Set & the Budget Constraint

The set of *all* affordable bundles that a consumer can choose is called the budget set or choice set

 $p_xx+p_yy\leq m$

• The **budget** *constraint* is the set of all bundles that spend *all income* m:[†]

$$p_x x + p_y y = m$$



[†] Note the difference (the in/equality): budget *constraint* is the **subset** of the *budget set* that *spends all income*.





- For 2 goods, (x,y)
 - $p_x x + p_y y = m$

- For 2 goods, (x,y)
 - $p_x x + p_y y = m$
- Solve for y to graph

$$y=rac{m}{p_y}-rac{p_x}{p_y}x$$

>

- For 2 goods, (x,y)

$$p_x x + p_y y = m$$

• Solve for y to graph

$$y=rac{m}{p_y}-rac{p_x}{p_y}x$$

- *y*-intercept: $\frac{m}{p_y}$
- *x*-intercept: $\frac{m}{p_x}$





 \geq

Х

- For 2 goods, (x,y)
 - $p_x x + p_y y = m$
- Solve for y to graph

$$y=rac{m}{p_y}-rac{p_x}{p_y}x$$

- *y*-intercept: $\frac{m}{p_y}$
- *x*-intercept: $\frac{m}{p_x}$

• slope:
$$-\frac{p_x}{p_y}$$





- For 2 goods, (x,y)
 - $p_x x + p_y y = m$
- Solve for y to graph

$$y=rac{m}{p_y}-rac{p_x}{p_y}x$$

- *y*-intercept: $\frac{m}{p_y}$
- *x*-intercept: $\frac{m}{p_x}$

• slope:
$$-\frac{p_x}{p_y}$$





The Budget Constraint: Example



Example: Suppose you have an income of \$50 to spend on lattes (l) and burritos (b). The price of lattes is \$5 and the price of burritos is \$10.

Let l be on the horizontal axis and b be on the vertical axis.

1. Write an equation for the budget constraint (in graphable form).

2. Graph the budget constraint.

Interpreting the Budget Constraint



- \circ A: 5(0x) + 10(5y) = 50
- \circ B: (10x) + 10(0y) = 50
- \circ C: 5(2x) + 10(4y) = 50
- \circ D: (6x) + 10(2y) = 50



Interpreting the Budget Constraint

- Points on the line spend all income
 - \circ A: \$5(0x) + \$10(5y) = \$50 \circ B: \$5(10x) + \$10(0y) = \$50 \circ C: \$5(2x) + \$10(4y) = \$50 \circ D: \$5(6x) + \$10(2y) = \$50
- Points **beneath** the line are **affordable** but don't use all income

$$\circ$$
 E: $\$5(3x) + \$10(2y) = \$35$



Interpreting the Budget Constraint

- Points on the line spend all income
 - \circ A: \$5(0x) + \$10(5y) = \$50 \circ B: \$5(10x) + \$10(0y) = \$50 \circ C: \$5(2x) + \$10(4y) = \$50 \circ D: \$5(6x) + \$10(2y) = \$50
- Points beneath the line are affordable but don't use all income
 - \circ E: (3x) + 10(2y) = 35
- Points above the line are unaffordable (at current income and prices)





Opportunity Cost

Interpretting the Slope

- **Slope**: tradeoff between *x* and *y* at market prices
 - $\circ\;$ Market "exchange rate" between x and y: $rac{p_x}{p_y}y: 1x$
- *Relative* price of *x*, or the opportunity cost of *x*:

Consuming 1 more unit of x requires giving up $rac{p_x}{p_y}$ units of y



Opportunity Cost

- **Opportunity cost**: value of next best foregone opportunity
- Even though we use money for prices, when you consume x, you're really giving up **the opportunity to consume y**!





The Parable of the Broken Window





• That Which is Seen and That Which is Not Seen

Frederic Bastiat

1801-1850

The Parable of the Broken Window





Frederic Bastiat

1801-1850

- That Which is Seen and That Which is Not Seen
- "That which is seen"
 - $\circ~$ The broken window
 - Resources diverted into glassmaking

The Parable of the Broken Window





Frederic Bastiat

1801-1850

- That Which is Seen and That Which is Not Seen
- "That which is seen"
 - $\circ~$ The broken window
 - Resources diverted into glassmaking
- "That which is not seen"
 - $\circ~$ Opportunity cost of fixing the window
 - Resources diverted *away* from other opportunities

Applying the Parable of the Broken Window

• What does it mean to say that **"spending money 'stimulates' the economy"?**



Applying the Parable of the Broken Window

- What does it mean to say that **"spending money 'stimulates' the economy"?**
- Scarce resources used in one industry can **not** be used in other industries
- Every (visible) decision to spend on X yields more X, and destroys an (invisible) opportunity to spend on Y







Changes in Market Conditions

Changes in Market Conditions

$$egin{aligned} m &= p_x x + p_y y \ y &= rac{m}{p_y} - rac{p_x}{p_y} x \end{aligned}$$

- Budget constraint is a function of specific parameters
 - \circ *m*: income
 - $\circ p_x, p_y$: market prices
- Economic analysis: how changes in constraints affect people's choices
 - \circ "incentives"





${\rm Changes \ in \ Income, } m$

• Changes in **income** <u>shift</u> the budget constraint

Example:

- Income increases $m_1 o m_2$
- Income decreases $m_1 o m_3$
- Slope unchanged (no change in prices!)
- Gain/loss of affordable bundles





Changes in Income, *m*: Example



Example: Continuing the lattes and burritos example, (income is \$50, lattes are \$5, burritos are \$10), suppose your income doubles to \$100.

1. Find the equation of the new budget constraint (in graphable form).

2. Graph the new budget constraint.

Changes in Relative Prices, p_x or p_y

• Change in *relative* prices <u>rotate</u> the budget constraint

Example:

- Price of x increases $p_x o p'_x$
- Price of x decreases $p_x o p_x''$

• Change in slope:
$$-rac{p'_x}{p_y}$$
, $-rac{p''_x}{p_y}$

• Gain/loss of affordable bundles



Changes in Relative Prices, p_x or p_y

• Change in *relative* prices <u>rotate</u> the budget constraint

Example:

- Price of y increases $p_y o p_y'$
- Price of y decreases $p_y o p_y''$

• Change in slope:
$$-rac{p_x}{p_y'}$$
 , $-rac{p_x}{p_y''}$

• Gain/loss of affordable bundles





Economics is About (Changes in) Relative Prices

- Economic analysis is about (changes in) *relative* prices
- Budget constraint slope (opportunity cost of x) is $-rac{p_x}{p_y}$
- Only "real" changes in <u>relative</u> prices (from changes in market conditions) change consumer constraints (and alter behavior)
- i.e. not "the price of x," its about "the price of x relative to the price of y"!



Economics is About (Changes in) *Relative* **Prices**



- "Nominal" prices are often meaningless!
 - Need to make *comparisons* between prices of different goods

Example: Imagine yourself in a strange country. All you know is that the price of bread, in local currency, is "6"...



Changes in Relative Prices: Example



Example: Continuing the lattes and burritos example (income is \$50, lattes are \$5, burritos are \$10).

1. Suppose the price of lattes doubles from \$5 to \$10. Find the equation of the new budget constraint and graph it.

2. Return to the original price of lattes (\$5) and suppose the price of burritos falls from \$10 to \$5. Find the equation of the new budget constraint and graph it.

Budget Constraint and the Law of Demand

- Recall the **law of demand**
- We can derive it right off the budget constraint!
 - $\circ \$ As $\uparrow p_x$, person can consume less x $\circ \$ As $\downarrow p_x$, person can consume more x
- Notice I have made no assumptions about rationality, preferences, utility, etc to get this!



Markets Do Not Require Individual Rationality

- A lot of griping about "rationality" and whether people are truly "rational"
 - <u>Behavioral economics</u>, for example
- The law of demand does not require rational people! (utility-maximizers, etc)
 - or markets, for that matter, it's the direct result of scarcity
- This is important: markets don't *require* rational people, they *make* people rational!

